

Community Development Commission Meeting Minutes

June 1, 2021

Page 1

Village of Bensenville
Board Room
12 South Center Street
DuPage and Cook Counties
Bensenville, IL, 60106

MINUTES OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

June 1, 2021

CALL TO ORDER: The meeting was called to order by Chairman Rowe at 6:30p.m.

ROLL CALL : Upon roll call the following Commissioners were present:
Rowe, Chambers, King, Marcotte, Wasowicz
Absent: Ciula, Czarnecki
A quorum was present.

STAFF PRESENT: K. Fawell, K. Pozsgay, C. Williamsen

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS: The minutes of the Community Development Commission Meeting of the May 4, 2021 were presented.

Motion: Commissioner Wasowicz made a motion to approve the minutes as presented. Commissioner Marcotte seconded the motion.

All were in favor. Motion carried.

Senior Village Planner, Kurtis Pozsgay and Village Planner, Kelsey Fawell, were present and sworn in by Chairman Rowe.

PUBLIC COMMENT: There was no Public Comment.

Continued Public Hearing: CDC Case Number 2021-07
Petitioner: Jatin Patel
Location: 213 West Grand Avenue
Request: Special Use Permit, Tobacco Shop
Municipal Code Section 10 – 7 – 2 – 1

Motion: Commissioner Marcotte made a motion to re-open CDC Case No. 2021-07. Commissioner Chambers seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL : Upon roll call the following Commissioners were present:
Rowe, Chambers, King, Marcotte, Wasowicz
Absent: Ciula, Czarnecki
A quorum was present.

Chairman Rowe re-opened CDC Case No. 2021-07 at 6:33 p.m.

Village Planner, Kelsey Fawell was present and sworn in by Chairman Rowe. Ms. Fawell stated a Legal Notice was published in the Bensenville Independent on April 15, 2021. Ms. Fawell stated a certified copy of the Legal Notice is maintained in the CDC file and is available for viewing and inspection at the Community & Economic Development Department during regular business hours. Ms. Fawell stated Village personnel posted a Notice of Public Hearing sign on the property, visible from the public way on April 12, 2021. Ms. Fawell stated on April 12, 2021 Village personnel mailed from the Bensenville Post Office via First Class Mail a Notice of Public Hearing to taxpayers of record within 250' of the property in question. Ms. Fawell stated an affidavit of mailing executed by C & ED personnel and the list of recipients are maintained in the CDC file and are available for viewing and inspection at the Community & Economic Development department during regular business hours.

Ms. Fawell stated the Petitioner is seeking a Special Use Permit to allow a tobacco shop at 213 W Grand Avenue, which is located in a multi-tenant commercial building. Ms. Fawell stated the proposed shop will occupy a 1,300SF unit and will operate from 9AM - 9PM daily with one employee.

Emin Tuluce, property owner, was present and sworn in by Chairman Rowe. Mr. Tuluce stated he was present on behalf of the petitioner who had a health issues. Mr. Tuluce stated the petitioner operated eighteen other locations and he supports the proposed business moving into his complex.

Chairman Rowe stated he was concerned allowing one employee to operate the space. Mr. Tuluce stated since he has been the property owner, there has been no issues of safety. Mr. Tuluce also stated that should business become overwhelming for one employee, he would assume the petitioner would increase the amount of employees that operate the space at one time.

Commissioner Wasowicz stated the case was continued so that the petitioner could provide additional information to the Commission and that he had failed to do so.

Ms. Fawell shared a map with the Commission detailing other establishments in the area that have a tobacco license.

Commissioner Wasowicz stated he feels the Village of Bensenville has enough tobacco stores in the area and that nothing new was provided from the last meeting. Commissioner Wasowicz also added that across the street is the City of Elmhurst which also has plenty of opportunities for tobacco sales as well.

Public Comment

Chairman Rowe asked if there was any member of the Public that would like to comment of the CDC Case. There were none.

Ms. Fawell reviewed the Findings of Fact for the proposed Special Use Permit as presented in the Staff Report consisting of:

- 1) **Public Welfare:** The proposed special use will not endanger the health, safety, comfort, convenience and general welfare of the public.

Applicant's Response: No, this will be a retail business.

- 2) **Neighborhood Character:** The proposed special use is compatible with the character of adjacent properties and other property within the immediate vicinity of the proposed special use.

Applicant's Response: Yes, it is compatible with the neighborhood.

- 3) **Orderly Development:** The proposed special use will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of adjacent properties and other property within the immediate vicinity of the proposed special use.

Applicant's Response: No, this will not impede any development.

- 4) **Use of Public Services and Facilities:** The proposed special use will not require utilities, access roads, drainage and/or other facilities or services to a degree disproportionate to that normally expected of permitted uses in the district, nor generate disproportionate demand for new services or facilities in such a way as to place undue burdens upon existing development in the area.

Applicant's Response: No, this business will not require any special facilities.

5) **Consistent with Title and Plan:** The proposed special use is consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Plan, this title, and the other land use policies of the Village.

Applicant's Response: Yes, it is.

Ms. Fawell stated Staff recommends the Approval of the above Findings of Fact and therefore the Approval of the Special Use Permit at 213 West Grand Avenue with the following conditions:

- 1) The Special Use Permit be granted solely to Jatin Patel and shall be transferred only after a review by the Community Development Commission (CDC) and approval of the Village Board. In the event of a re-occupancy of this property, the new occupants shall appear before a Public Meeting of the CDC. The CDC shall review the request and in its sole discretion, shall either; recommend that the Village Board approve of the transfer of the lease and/or ownership to the new occupant without amendment to the Special Use Permit, or if the CDC deems that the new occupant contemplates a change in use which is inconsistent with the Special Use Permit, the new occupant shall be required to petition for a new Public Hearing before the CDC for a new Special Use Permit.

There were no questions from the Commission.

Motion: Commissioner Chambers made a motion to close CDC Case No. 2021-07. Commissioner Wasowicz seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Ayes: Rowe, Chambers, King, Marcotte, Wasowicz

Nays: None

All were in favor. Motion carried.

Chairman Rowe closed CDC Case No. 2021-07 at 6:41p.m.

Motion: Commissioner Chambers made a combined motion to approve the Findings of Fact and Approval a Special Use Permit, Tobacco Shop; Municipal Code Section 10-7-2-1. Chairman Rowe seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Ayes: Rowe, Chambers, King, Marcotte

Nays: Wasowicz

Motion carried.

Public Hearing: CDC Case Number 2021-12

Petitioner: Adrian Cazares

Location: 386 East Red Oak Street

Request: Variation, Maximum Driveway Width
Municipal Code Section 10 – 8 – 8 – 1
Variation, Driveway Parking Pad
Municipal Code Section 10 – 8 – 8 – G.3

Motion: Commissioner Marcotte made a motion to open CDC Case No. 2021-12. Commissioner Wasowicz seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL : Upon roll call the following Commissioners were present:
Rowe, Chambers, King, Marcotte, Wasowicz
Absent: Ciula, Czarnecki
A quorum was present.

Chairman Rowe opened CDC Case No. 2021-12 at 6:43 p.m.

Village Planner, Kelsey Fawell was present and sworn in by Chairman Rowe. Ms. Fawell stated a Legal Notice was published in the Bensenville Independent on May 13, 2021. Ms. Fawell stated a certified copy of the Legal Notice is maintained in the CDC file and is available for viewing and inspection at the Community & Economic Development Department during regular business hours. Ms. Fawell stated Village personnel posted a Notice of Public Hearing sign on the property, visible from the public way on May 12, 2021. Ms. Fawell stated on May 12, 2021 Village personnel mailed from the Bensenville Post Office via First Class Mail a Notice of Public Hearing to taxpayers of record within 250' of the property in question. Ms. Fawell stated an affidavit of mailing executed by C & ED personnel and the list of recipients are maintained in the CDC file and are available for viewing and inspection at the Community & Economic Development department during regular business hours.

Ms. Fawell stated the Petitioner is seeking to expand their existing 9ft-wide driveway to 20ft, with a length of approximately 80ft. Ms. Fawell stated the existing driveway leads up to a concrete pad, on which previously sat a garage that has since been razed. Ms. Fawell stated the area between the existing 528SF garage and the concrete pad is unimproved with grass and gravel. Ms. Fawell stated the Petitioner hopes the Variation will alleviate parking availability concerns, as well as prevent future Code violations for parking on unimproved surfaces.

Ms. Fawell stated the Village Zoning Ordinance implements a maximum driveway width of 10ft for residential uses. Ms. Fawell stated a parking pad the width of the garage served by the driveway is permitted to extend up to 20ft in depth from the garage doors before tapering back down to the maximum 10ft width.

Adrian Cazares, property owner, was present and sown in by Chairman Rowe. Mr. Cazares stated he is requesting to expand his driveway from 10 feet to 20 feet. Mr. Cazares stated he has a five bedroom home with drivers in each room. Mr. Cazares stated his neighbors both have wide driveways.

Commissioner Wasowicz asked if the Village is aware of the neighboring properties and if they were provided variances.

Ms. Fawell stated that Staff is unaware of the neighboring properties and that variances are handled on a case by case basis and decisions should not be made on precedence.

Commissioner Marcotte indicated she feels the proposed plans from the petitioner makes the most sense.

Public Comment

Chairman Rowe asked if there was any member of the Public that would like to comment of the CDC Case. There were none.

Ms. Fawell reviewed the Findings of Fact for the proposed variances as presented in the Staff Report consisting of:

1. **Public Welfare:** The proposed Variation will not endanger the health, safety, comfort, convenience, and general welfare of the public.

Applicant's Response: It will not endanger the health, safety, comfort, convenience, and general welfare of the public. It's just convenient for the size of household.

2. **Compatible with Surrounding Character:** The proposed Variation is compatible with the character of adjacent properties and other property within the immediate vicinity of the proposed Variation.

Applicant's Response: It is compatible with the character of adjacent properties to the east and west of the residence. They both have 20' driveways to the street from their garages.

3. **Undue Hardship:** The proposed Variation alleviates an undue hardship created by the literal enforcement of this title.

Applicant's Response: The proposed Variation does indeed alleviate an undue hardship created by the literal enforcement of this title. By the convenience of multiple cars that reside in the address above at 386 E Red Oak Street.

4. **Unique Physical Attributes:** The proposed Variation is necessary due to the unique physical attributes of the subject property, which were not deliberately created by the applicant.

Applicant's Response: It is necessary since my garage is at the back of the property, the garage is 24' wide by 22' deep. I was not allowed to build a garage adjacent to the house therefore creating a longer driveway. It is very inconvenient to pull cars in and out due to different work schedules.

5. **Minimum Deviation Needed:** The proposed Variation represents the minimum deviation from the regulations of this title necessary to accomplish the desired improvement of the subject property.

Applicant's Response: 20' from start of garage tapering off to 10' is just not feasible for the daily usage of this Variation.

6. **Consistent with Ordinance and Plan:** The proposed Variation is consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Plan, this title, and the other land use policies of the Village.

Applicant's Response: I've seen similar properties in Bensenville with my proposal. I greatly appreciate your consideration to create a more feasible access to our daily usage and it will look aesthetically better as well.

Ms. Fawell stated Staff recommends the Denial of the above Findings of Fact as they pertain to a Variation for an increase in maximum driveway width, and therefore the Denial of the Variation.

Ms. Fawell stated Staff recommends the Approval of the above Findings of Fact and therefore the Approval of the Variation for an increase in the area of a driveway parking pad at 386 E Red Oak with the following conditions:

- 1) The draining pattern shall remain unchanged and the property shall drain toward the rear of the lot. Any alteration in the proposed grading is subject to Village review and approval; and
- 2) The applicant may pave a pad the width of the garage which connects to the existing concrete slab before tapering back down to the existing driveway width.

There were no further questions from the Commission.

Motion: Commissioner Wasowicz made a motion to close CDC Case No. 2021-12. Chairman Rowe seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Ayes: Rowe, Chambers, King, Marcotte, Wasowicz

Nays: None

All were in favor. Motion carried.

Chairman Rowe closed CDC Case No. 2021-12 at 6:57 p.m.

Motion: Commissioner Chambers made a combined motion to approve the Findings of Fact and Approval of a Variation, Maximum Driveway Width; Municipal Code Section 10 – 8 – 8 – 1. Charmian Rowe seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Ayes: Marcotte

Nays: Rowe, Chambers, King, Wasowicz

Motion Failed.

Motion: Commissioner Wasowicz made a combined motion to approve the Findings of Fact and Approval of a Variation, Driveway Parking Pad Municipal Code Section 10 – 8 – 8 – G.3. Commissioner Chambers seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Ayes: Rowe, Chambers, King, Marcotte, Wasowicz

Nays: None

All were in favor. Motion carried.

Public Hearing: CDC Case Number 2021-11

Petitioner: ML Realty

Location: Mohawk Terrace Subdivision

Request: **Site Plan Review**

Municipal Code Section 10 – 3 – 2

Final Planned Unit Development

Municipal Code Section 10 – 4

Plat of Subdivision

Municipal Code Section 11 – 3

With the following code departures:

Industrial District Parking Location

Municipal Code Section 10 – 6 – 19.B.4

Outdoor Storage Area

Municipal Code Section 10 – 7 – 2 – 1

Maximum Number of Parking Spaces

Municipal Code Section 10 – 8 – 2 – B.6

Maximum Driveway Width

Municipal Code Section 10 – 8 – 8 – 1

Driveway Apron Width

Municipal Code Section 10 – 8 – 8 – F

Tree Replacement Standards

Municipal Code Section 10 – 9 – 2 – B

Motion: Commissioner Wasowicz made a motion to open CDC Case No. 2021-11. Commissioner Marcotte seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL : Upon roll call the following Commissioners were present:
Rowe, Chambers, King, Marcotte, Wasowicz
Absent: Ciula, Czarnecki
A quorum was present.

Chairman Rowe opened CDC Case No. 2021-11 at 7:01 p.m.

Village Planner, Kelsey Fawell was present and sworn in by Chairman Rowe. Ms. Fawell stated a Legal Notice was published in the Bensenville Independent on May 13, 2021. Ms. Fawell stated a certified copy of the Legal Notice is maintained in the CDC file and is available for viewing and inspection at the Community & Economic Development Department during regular business hours. Ms. Fawell stated Village personnel posted a Notice of Public Hearing sign on the property, visible from the public way on May 12, 2021. Ms. Fawell stated on May 12, 2021 Village personnel mailed from the Bensenville Post Office via First Class Mail a Notice of Public Hearing to taxpayers of record within 250' of the property in question. Ms. Fawell stated an affidavit of mailing executed by C & ED personnel and the list of recipients are maintained in the CDC file and are available for viewing and inspection at the Community & Economic Development department during regular business hours.

Ms. Fawell stated in November of 2020, the Owners appeared before the Community Development Commission and Village Board of Trustees, seeking approval of the following requests: Preliminary Plat of Subdivision, rezoning from residential to industrial, Site Plan Review, and a Preliminary Planned Unit Development with code departures. Ms. Fawell stated these requests, which were conditionally approved by the Village Board, were sought in order to assemble the previously residentially-zoned properties in the Mohawk Terrace Subdivision (southwest corner of Devon Avenue and Illinois Route 83) into an industrial use business park. Ms. Fawell stated the site plan features four industrial buildings with parking for both trucks and passenger vehicles. Ms. Fawell stated in conjunction with the Preliminary Planned Unit Development that was granted, the Petitioners sought code departures, as certain aspects of the site do not meet the following Village Zoning Ordinance requirements.

Ms. Fawell stated the Owners are now seeking approval of a Final Planned Unit Development. Ms. Fawell stated a new code departure is being sought with the Final PUD: Outdoor Storage Area. Zoning Code considers truck and trailer parking as outdoor storage, and the area is restricted to a certain size, which this development exceeds.

Ms. Fawell stated this Final PUD request is simply for site improvement work, and the Owners will come back through the Public Hearing process for PUD Amendments when they have final plans for each proposed building and that lot's respective landscaping.

Timothy J. Geisler and Jamie Putnam of ML Realty were both present and shown in by Chairman Rowe. Mr. Geisler stated they were both present to answer any questions from the Commission.

There were no questions from the Commission.

Public Comment

Chairman Rowe asked if there was any member of the Public that would like to comment on the CDC Case. There were none.

Ms. Fawell reviewed the Findings of Fact for Site Plan Review as presented in the Staff Report consisting of:

1. **Surrounding Character:** The site plan for the proposed development is consistent with the existing character and zoning of adjacent properties and other property within the immediate vicinity of the proposed development.
Applicant's Response: **The site is a natural fit for an industrial park and will be consistent with the existing surroundings, which is comprised of industrial, commercial or data centers. The property is surrounded by Devon Avenue to the north, commercial properties and Route 83 to the east, and industrial properties to the west and south. It should be noted that ML Realty Partners and Prologis own most of the industrial buildings adjacent to the property lines on the west and south.**
2. **Neighborhood Impact:** The site plan for the proposed development will not adversely impact adjacent properties and other properties within the immediate vicinity of the proposed development.

Applicant's Response: As the proposed use of the site is harmonious with surrounding properties, the site plan for the proposed development will not adversely impact adjacent properties and other properties within the immediate vicinity of the proposed development. Including the potential to add new jobs to the community, this project will have other direct financial gains to the Village of Bensenville. Currently, Mohawk Terrace generates approximately \$670,000 in property taxes annually. Once completed and stabilized this park could generate upwards of \$2,000,000 in property taxes. Additionally, by vacating the streets and right of ways, the Village will also save on road maintenance and snow plowing costs.

3. **Public Facilities:** The site plan for the proposed development will be provided with adequate utilities, access roads, parking, loading, drainage, stormwater flow paths, exterior lighting, and/or other necessary facilities.

Applicant's Response: All buildings will have ample parking for cars and adequate trailer parking for trucks. The existing subdivision is currently on well and septic systems so the redevelopment will include bringing water and sanitary sewers from the southeast corner of the site. All stormwater will be accounted for and contained on site via underground detention and water feature and released at the required rate. All new franchise utilities (ComEd, Nicor, AT&T, etc.) will be installed underground for the new park. The curb cuts have been designed by a traffic engineer to account for traffic flow, separation of cars and trucks, adjacent driveways, and existing grades. The final locations of the curb-cuts will ultimately be up to the County on Devon Avenue and IDOT on Route 83.

4. **Environmental Preservation:** The site plan for the proposed development is designed to preserve the environmental resources of the zoning lot.

Applicant's Response: To enhance the aesthetics and create some amenities within the park we have increased green space areas from the I-2 zoning requirements. While we do fall short for the total number of trees required to be replaced for the large established residential subdivision, our landscape plan will exceed the code required plantings for any typical industrial developments. We have come to a fee-in-lieu agreement

with the Village. A water feature has been included at the park entry on Route 83 to showcase this first-class industrial park.

5. **On-site Pedestrian Circulation System:** The site plan shall accommodate on-site pedestrian circulation from parking areas, plazas, open space, and public rights-of-way. Pedestrian and vehicular circulation shall be separated to the greatest extent possible.

Applicant's Response: We have included public sidewalks along both Devon Avenue and Route 83 to provide safe access to the Pace Bus Stop which is located at the southeast corner of the site. Additionally, we have included walking paths and sidewalks west of building 1, in the center median between buildings 2 and 3, and along the access drive south of buildings 3 and 4 to tie into all public walks. A water feature has been included at the park entry on Route 83 to showcase this first-class industrial park.

6. **Vehicle Ingress and Egress:** The site plan shall locate curb cuts for safe and efficient ingress and egress of vehicles. The use of shared curb cuts and cross-access easements shall be provided when appropriate.

Applicant's Response: The curb cuts have been designed by a traffic engineer to account for traffic flow, separation of cars and trucks, adjacent driveways, and existing grades.

7. **Architectural Design:** The site plan for the proposed development includes architectural design that contributes positively to the Village's aesthetic appearance.

Applicant's Response: We will formally apply for a final approval of each individual building, however, this application is for the construction of site infrastructure and mass grading. The previously approved Preliminary PUD included the four proposed buildings. All will have rear loading and will be constructed on a speculative basis meaning they do not have any specific tenants at this time. The buildings are designed for maximum flexibility and could be leased to tenants from 40,000 SF to 350,000 SF. We have included architectural elements constructed from glass, aluminum and precast at the corner entries and at

the center entry of the buildings. All buildings will have ample parking for cars and adequate trailer parking for trucks.

8. **Consistent with Title and Plan:** The site plan for the proposed development is consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Plan, this title, and the other land use policies of the Village.

Applicant's Response: The site plan for the proposed development is consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Plan, this title, and the other land use policies of the Village.

Ms. Fawell reviewed the Findings of Fact for Planned Unit Developments as presented in the Staff Report consisting of:

- 1) **Comprehensive Plan:** The proposed planned unit development fulfills the objectives of the Comprehensive Plan, and other land use policies of the Village, through an innovative and creative approach to the development of land.
Applicant's Response: The site plan for the proposed development is consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Plan, this title, and the other land use policies of the Village. Below responses should reflect the innovative and creative approaches our team has taken in our proposal. The site is a natural fit for an industrial park and will be consistent with the existing surroundings, which is comprised of industrial, commercial or data centers. The property is surrounded by Devon Avenue to the north, commercial properties and Route 83 to the east, and industrial properties to the west and south. It should be noted that ML Realty Partners and Prologis own most of the industrial buildings adjacent to the property lines on the west and south.
- 2) **Public Facilities:** The proposed planned unit development will provide walkways, driveways, streets, parking facilities, loading facilities, exterior lighting, and traffic control devices that adequately serve the uses within the development, promote improved access to public transportation, and provide for safe motor vehicle, bicycle, and pedestrian traffic to and from the site.

Applicant's Response: We have included public sidewalks along both Devon Avenue and Route 83 to provide safe access to the Pace Bus Stop which is located at the southeast corner of the site. Additionally, we have included walking paths and sidewalks west of building 1, in the center median between buildings 2 and 3, and along the access drive south of buildings 3 and 4 to tie into all public walks. We are requesting that we relocate the existing full access curb-cuts and add new full access curb cuts along Devon Avenue and plan on utilizing the existing full access at Route 83. The curb cuts have been designed by a traffic engineer to account for traffic flow, separation of cars and trucks, adjacent driveways, and existing grades. The final locations of the curb-cuts will ultimately be up to the County on Devon Avenue and IDOT on Route 83.

- 3) **Landscaping and Screening:** The proposed planned unit development will provide landscaping and screening that enhances the Village's character and livability, improves air and water quality, reduces noise, provides buffers, and facilitates transitions between different types of uses.

Applicant's Response: To enhance the aesthetics and create some amenities within the park we have increased green space areas from the I-2 zoning requirements. While we do fall short for the total number of trees required to be replaced for the large established residential subdivision, our landscape plan will exceed the code required plantings for any typical industrial developments. A separate Memorandum of Understanding was agreed to between the Village and the Petitioner providing a payment of \$200,000 into the Villages Tree Fund in lieu of providing all of the required trees. We have included public sidewalks along both Devon Avenue and Route 83 to provide safe access to the Pace Bus Stop which is located at the southeast corner of the site. Additionally, we have included walking paths and sidewalks west of building 1, in the center median between buildings 2 and 3, and along the access drive south of buildings 3 and 4 to tie into all public walks. A water feature has been included at the park entry on Route 83 to showcase this first-class industrial park.

- 4) **Site Design:** The proposed planned unit development will incorporate sustainable and low impact site design and development principles.

Applicant's Response: We will formally apply for a final approval of each individual building, however, this application is for the construction of site infrastructure and mass grading. The previously approved Preliminary PUD included the four proposed buildings. All will have rear loading and will be constructed on a speculative basis meaning they do not have any specific tenants at this time. The buildings are designed for maximum flexibility and could be leased to tenants from 40,000 SF to 350,000 SF. We have included architectural elements constructed from glass, aluminum and precast at the corner entries and at the center entry of the buildings. All buildings will have ample parking for cars and adequate trailer parking for trucks.

- 5) **Natural Environment:** The proposed planned unit development will protect the community's natural environment to the greatest extent practical, including existing natural features, water courses, trees, and native vegetation.

Applicant's Response: To enhance the aesthetics and create some amenities within the park we have increased green space areas from the I-2 zoning requirements. While we do fall short for the total number of trees required to be replaced for the large established residential subdivision, our landscape plan will exceed the code required plantings for any typical industrial developments. A water feature has been included at the park entry on Route 83 to showcase this first-class industrial park.

- 6) **Utilities:** The proposed planned unit development will be provided with underground installation of utilities when feasible, including electricity, cable, and telephone, as well as appropriate facilities for storm sewers, stormwater retention, and stormwater detention.

Applicant's Response: The existing subdivision is currently on well and septic systems so the redevelopment will include bringing water and sanitary sewers from the southeast corner of the site. All stormwater will be accounted for and contained on site via underground detention and water feature and released at the required rate. All new franchise utilities

**(ComEd, Nicor, AT&T, etc.) will be installed
underground for the new park.**

Ms. Fawell stated Staff recommends the Approval of the above Findings of Fact and therefore the Approval of the Site Plan Review.

Ms. Fawell stated Staff recommends the Approval of the above Findings of Fact and therefore the Approval of the Final Planned Unit Development with the following conditions:

1. All conditions of approval required of the Preliminary Planned Unit Development (Ord. No. 66-2020) are consequently conditions of approval of the Final Planned Unit Development; and
2. No grading work shall commence until a Plat of Vacation, subject to Village review and approval, is recorded; and
3. The water main infrastructure is subject to Village review and approval; and
4. The code departure for Outdoor Storage, Municipal Code Section 10-7-2-1 is granted; and
5. The center landscape spine between buildings 2 and 3 be increased from 21 feet to 26 feet; and
6. To the fullest extent possible of the Owners/Petitioner, the Wood Dale Park District Property shall feature infrastructure improvements to promote the use of the future employees of the subject site; and
7. The Owners/Petitioner are required to seek approval of Amendments to the Planned Unit Development for building plans and their respective landscape plans.

There were no further questions from the Commission.

Motion: Commissioner Wasowicz made a motion to close CDC Case No. 2021-11. Commissioner Marcotte seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Ayes: Rowe, Chambers, King, Marcotte, Wasowicz

Nays: None

All were in favor. Motion carried.

Chairman Rowe closed CDC Case No. 2021-11 at 7:10 p.m.

Motion: Commissioner Wasowicz made a combined motion to approve the Findings of Fact and Approval of the Site Plan Review. Commissioner Marcotte seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Ayes: Rowe, Chambers, King, Marcotte, Wasowicz

Nays: None

All were in favor. Motion carried.

Motion: Commissioner Wasowicz made a combined motion to approve the Findings of Fact and Approval of the Final Planned Unit Development with Staff Recommendations. Commissioner Marcotte seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Ayes: Rowe, Chambers, King, Marcotte, Wasowicz

Nays: None

All were in favor. Motion carried.

**Report from
Community
Development:** Ms. Fawell reviewed both recent CDC cases along with upcoming cases.

ADJOURNMENT: There being no further business before the Community Development Commission, Commissioner Marcotte made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Commissioner King seconded the motion.

All were in favor. Motion carried.

The meeting was adjourned at 7:13 p.m.



Ronald Rowe, Chairman
Community Development Commission