

Village of Bensenville
Board Room
12 South Center Street
DuPage and Cook Counties
Bensenville, IL, 60106

MINUTES OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

December 3, 2024

CALL TO ORDER: The meeting was called to order by Chairman Rowe at 6:30p.m.

ROLL CALL : Upon roll call the following Commissioners were present:
Rowe, Chambers, Ciula, King, Marcotte, Rott, Wasowicz
Absent: None
A quorum was present.

STAFF PRESENT: K. Pozsgay, K. Quinn, C. Williamsen

JOURNAL OF

PROCEEDINGS: The minutes of the Community Development Commission Meeting of the November 5, 2024 were presented.

Motion: Commissioner King made a motion to approve the minutes as presented. Commissioner Rott seconded the motion.

All were in favor. Motion carried.

Director of Community and Economic Development, Kurtis Pozsgay and Village Planner, Kevin Quinn were present and sworn in by Chairman Rowe.

PUBLIC

COMMENT: There was no Public Comment.

Public Hearing: CDC Case Number 2024-32

Petitioner: Advanced Transport, Inc.

Location: 477 Thomas Drive, Unit C

Request: Special Use Permit, Motor Vehicle Repair and/or Service

Municipal Code 10 – 7 – 2 – 1

Motion: Commissioner Rott made a motion to open CDC Case No. 2024-32. Commissioner Wasowicz seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL : Upon roll call the following Commissioners were present:
Rowe, Chambers, Ciula, King, Marcotte, Rott, Wasowicz
Absent: None
A quorum was present.

Chairman Rowe opened CDC Case No. 2024-32 at 6:32 p.m.

Village Planner, Kevin Quinn, was present and sworn in by Chairman Rowe. Mr. Quinn stated a Legal Notice was published in the Bensenville Independent on November 14, 2024. Mr. Quinn stated a certified copy of the Legal Notice is maintained in the CDC file and is available for viewing and inspection at the Community & Economic Development Department during regular business hours. Mr. Quinn stated Village personnel posted one Notice of Public Hearing sign on the property, visible from the public way on November 13, 2024. Mr. Quinn stated on November 13, 2024 Village personnel mailed from the Bensenville Post Office via First Class Mail a Notice of Public Hearing to taxpayers of record within 300' of the property in question. Mr. Quinn stated an affidavit of mailing executed by C & ED personnel and the list of recipients are maintained in the CDC file and are available for viewing and inspection at the Community & Economic Development department during regular business hours.

Mr. Quinn stated the Petitioner, Advance Transport Inc., is seeking approval of a Special Use Permit, Motor Vehicle Repair and/or Service, for the property they are renting at 477 Thomas Drive, Unit C. Mr. Quinn stated they seek to perform maintenance solely on semi-trailers owned by them. Mr. Quinn stated at this location, they have 30 existing car parking spaces, 11 loading dock spaces, and 8 proposed trailer parking spaces. Mr. Quinn stated there have been previous applications for Motor Vehicle Repair and/or Service in the past at this location. Mr. Quinn stated the garage for maintenance is located at the rear of the property.

Eric Kuola, owner of Advanced Transport, Inc. was present and sworn in by Chairman Rowe. Mr. Kuola stated the special use permit was needed to allow his company to work on company owned trailers; general maintenance. Mr. Kuola stated no engine work will be done. Mr. Kuola stated he has been in business for fourteen years and the prior tenant had a special use for a similar use.

Chairman Rowe asked if any work would be done outside. Mr. Kuola stated no.

Public Comment

Chairman Rowe asked if there were any members of the Public that would like to make comment. There were none.

Mr. Quinn reviewed the approval standards for proposed Special Use Permit consisting of:

1. Public Welfare: The proposed variation will not endanger the health, safety, comfort, convenience, and general welfare of the public.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed variation will not endanger the health, safety, comfort, convenience, and general welfare of the public.

2. Compatible with Surrounding Character: The proposed variation is compatible with the character of adjacent properties and other property within the immediate vicinity of the proposed variation.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed variation is compatible with the character of adjacent properties and other property within the immediate vicinity of the proposed variation.

3. Undue Hardship: The proposed variation alleviates an undue hardship created by the literal enforcement of this title.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed variation alleviates an undue hardship created by the literal enforcement of this title.

4. Unique Physical Attributes: The proposed variation is necessary due to the unique physical attributes of the subject property, which were not deliberately created by the applicant.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed variation is necessary due to the unique physical attributes of the subject property, which were not deliberately created by the applicant.

5. Minimum Deviation Needed: The proposed variation represents the minimum deviation from the regulations of this title

necessary to accomplish the desired improvement of the subject property.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed variation represents the minimum deviation from the regulations of this title necessary to accomplish the desired improvement of the subject property.

6. Consistent with Ordinance and Plan: The proposed variation is consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Plan, this title, and the other land use policies of the Village.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed variation is consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Plan, this title, and the other land use policies of the Village.

Mr. Quinn stated:

1. Staff recommends the Approval of the Findings of Fact and therefore the approval of the Special Use Permit, Motor Vehicle Repair and/or Service at 477 Thomas with the following conditions:
 - a. The Special Use Permit be granted solely to Advance Transport Inc and shall be transferred only after a review by the Community Development Commission (CDC) and approval of the Village Board. In the event of a re-occupancy of this property, the new occupants shall appear before a Public Meeting of the CDC. The CDC shall review the request and in its sole discretion, shall either; recommend that the Village Board approve of the transfer of the lease and/or ownership to the new occupant without amendment to the Special Use Permit, or if the CDC deems that the new occupant contemplates a change in use which is inconsistent with the Special Use Permit, the new occupant shall be required to petition for a new Public Hearing before the CDC for a new Special Use Permit.
 - b. A triple catch basin must be installed.
 - c. No body work or spray painting will be permitted.
 - d. Outdoor storage in excess of the allowable 25% will not be allowed.
 - e. No maintenance of trucks, vehicles, or equipment will be permitted on vehicles not owned by Advanced Transport Inc.

- f. All maintenance must be done inside of the maintenance bay.

Commissioner Wasowicz asked how the Village knows the applicant will be working on company owned trailers only. Mr. Quinn stated the Village requires a list of trailers and license plates.

Motion: Commissioner Marcotte made a motion to close CDC Case No. 2024-32. Commissioner Wasowicz seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Ayes: Rowe, Chambers, Ciula, King, Marcotte, Rott, Wasowicz
Nays: None

All were in favor. Motion carried.

Chairman Rowe closed CDC Case No. 2024-32 at 6:38 p.m.

Motion: Commissioner Rott made a motion to approve Special Use Permit, Motor Vehicle Repair and/or Service; Municipal Code Section 10-7-2-1 with Staff's Recommendations. Commissioner Wasowicz seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Ayes: Rowe, Chambers, Ciula, King, Marcotte, Rott, Wasowicz
Nays: None

All were in favor. Motion carried.

Public Hearing: CDC Case Number 2024-33
Petitioner: Oro Solidido PMU International, Inc.
Location: 6 South Addison Street
Request: Special Use Permit, Vocational School
Municipal Code 10 – 7 – 2 – 1

Motion: Commissioner Rott made a motion to open CDC Case No. 2024-33. Commissioner Wasowicz seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL : Upon roll call the following Commissioners were present: Rowe, Chambers, Ciula, King, Marcotte, Rott, Wasowicz
Absent: None
A quorum was present.

Chairman Rowe opened CDC Case No. 2024-33 at 6:40 p.m.

Village Planner, Kevin Quinn, was present and sworn in by Chairman Rowe. Mr. Quinn stated a Legal Notice was published in the Bensenville Independent on November 14, 2024. Mr. Quinn stated a certified copy of the Legal Notice is maintained in the CDC file and is available for viewing and inspection at the Community & Economic Development Department during regular business hours. Mr. Quinn stated Village personnel posted one Notice of Public Hearing sign on the property, visible from the public way on November 13, 2024. Mr. Quinn stated on November 13, 2024 Village personnel mailed from the Bensenville Post Office via First Class Mail a Notice of Public Hearing to taxpayers of record within 300' of the property in question. Mr. Quinn stated an affidavit of mailing executed by C & ED personnel and the list of recipients are maintained in the CDC file and are available for viewing and inspection at the Community & Economic Development department during regular business hours.

Mr. Quinn stated the Petitioner, Oro Solido PMU International Inc., is seeking approval of a Special Use Permit, Vocational School, to open a school for barbers and hairdressers at 6 S Addison. 6 S Addison is located on the ground floor of a mixed-use building in downtown Bensenville. Mr. Quinn stated the Petitioners have said that they will be offering a variety of classes across different times of day- they project about 10-15 students per class. Mr. Quinn stated the mixed-use development has a parking lot- they would have roughly 20 spots within the lot, and the site is located in close proximity to the Metra station.

Ms. Laura Granja, employee of Oro Solidido PMU International Inc., was present and sworn in by Chairman Rowe. Ms. Granja provided an overview of the company and their plans to open their first location in Bensenville.

Commissioner Chambers asked if this was the first location being opened. Ms. Granja stated yes.

Commissioner Rott asked if the classes offered were only in Spanish. Ms. Granja stated classes will be offered in both Spanish and English.

Public Comment

Chairman Rowe asked if there were any members of the Public that would like to make comment. There were none.

Mr. Quinn reviewed the approval standards for proposed Special Use Permit consisting of:

1. **Public Welfare:** The proposed special use will not endanger the health, safety, comfort, convenience and general welfare of the public.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed special use will not endanger the health, safety, comfort, convenience and general welfare of the public. The request is to operate a teaching facility, where all spaces, materials and resources are solely to support the education of our students. Classes and activities will NOT endanger or inconvenience the general welfare of the public.

2. **Neighborhood Character:** The proposed special use is compatible with the character of adjacent properties and other property within the immediate vicinity of the proposed special use.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed special use is compatible with the character of adjacent properties and other property within the immediate vicinity of the proposed special use. The proposed use will bring students/potential customers to the businesses within the property area.

3. **Orderly Development:** The proposed special use will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of adjacent properties and other property within the immediate vicinity of the proposed special use.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed special use will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of adjacent properties and other property within the immediate vicinity of the proposed special use. The use will NOT impede the normal development and improvement, but it will utilize the local businesses in the area such as restaurants and hotels.

4. **Use of Public Services and Facilities:** The proposed special use will not require utilities, access roads, drainage and/or other facilities or services to a degree disproportionate to that

normally expected of permitted uses in the district, nor generate disproportionate demand for new services or facilities in such a way as to place undue burdens upon existing development in the area.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed special use will not require utilities, access roads, drainage and/or other facilities or services to a degree disproportionate to that normally expected of permitted uses in the district, nor generate disproportionate demand for new services or facilities in such a way as to place undue burdens upon existing development in the area. The request to operate a teaching facility with accessory parking, it does not require the need for special outside construction or facilities, other than what the state will require.

5. **Consistent with Title and Plan:** The proposed special use is consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Plan, this title, and the other land use policies of the Village.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed special use is consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Plan, this title, and the other land use policies of the Village. The proposed use is consistent with the village guarding the well-being of the general welfare of the community. Our proposed school solely function is to educate the community in cosmetology.

Mr. Quinn stated:

1. Staff recommends the Approval of the Findings of Fact and therefore the approval of the Special Use Permit with the following conditions:
 - a. The Special Use Permit be granted solely to Oro Soldido PMU International Inc. and shall be transferred only after a review by the Community Development Commission (CDC) and approval of the Village Board. In the event of a re-occupancy of this property, the new occupants shall appear before a Public Meeting of the CDC. The CDC shall review the request and in its sole discretion, shall either; recommend that the Village Board approve of the transfer of the lease and/or ownership to the new occupant without amendment to the Special Use Permit, or if the CDC deems that the new occupant contemplates a change in use which is

inconsistent with the Special Use Permit, the new occupant shall be required to petition for a new Public Hearing before the CDC for a new Special Use Permit.

b. Occupancy must be determined.

There were no questions from the commission.

Motion: Commissioner Wasowicz made a motion to close CDC Case No. 2024-33. Commissioner Marcotte seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Ayes: Rowe, Chambers, Ciula, King, Marcotte, Rott, Wasowicz

Nays: None

All were in favor. Motion carried.

Chairman Rowe closed CDC Case No. 2024-33 at 6:52 p.m.

Motion: Commissioner Rott made a motion to approve Special Use Permit, Vocational School, Municipal Code Section 10-7-2-1 with Staff's Recommendations. Commissioner Rott seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Ayes: Rowe, Chambers, Ciula, King, Marcotte, Rott, Wasowicz

Nays: None

All were in favor. Motion carried.

Public Hearing: CDC Case Number 2024-34

Petitioner: SunVest Solar

Location: 950-990 Supreme Drive

Request: Variation, Solar Energy Collection System Ground-Mounted Systems Location

Municipal Code Section 10 – 7 – 4C – 23b – 1

Motion: Commissioner Rott made a motion to open CDC Case No. 2024-34. Commissioner Wasowicz seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL : Upon roll call the following Commissioners were present:

Rowe, Chambers, Ciula, King, Marcotte, Rott, Wasowicz

Absent: None

A quorum was present.

Chairman Rowe opened CDC Case No. 2024-34 at 6:53 p.m.

Village Planner, Kevin Quinn, was present and sworn in by Chairman Rowe. Mr. Quinn stated a Legal Notice was published in the Bensenville Independent on November 14, 2024. Mr. Quinn stated a certified copy of the Legal Notice is maintained in the CDC file and is available for viewing and inspection at the Community & Economic Development Department during regular business hours. Mr. Quinn stated Village personnel posted one Notice of Public Hearing sign on the property, visible from the public way on November 13, 2024. Mr. Quinn stated on November 13, 2024 Village personnel mailed from the Bensenville Post Office via First Class Mail a Notice of Public Hearing to taxpayers of record within 300' of the property in question. Mr. Quinn stated an affidavit of mailing executed by C & ED personnel and the list of recipients are maintained in the CDC file and are available for viewing and inspection at the Community & Economic Development department during regular business hours.

Mr. Quinn stated the Petitioner, SunVest Solar, is seeking a variance to locate the mechanical portion of a solar energy collection system in the front yard. Mr. Quinn stated the property, 950 Supreme, is a corner side lot with two front yards, and based on an aerial inspection, may be legal non-conforming with on the west side of the building in regard to the minimum interior side setback. Mr. Quinn stated the rear yard of the property is used for outdoor storage, within the 25% allowable limit. Mr. Quinn stated the west side of the property is also adjacent to a railroad spur. Mr. Quinn stated the petitioner has indicated that they would screen the proposed equipment on three sides in accordance with Village Code. Mr. Quinn stated the property falls within an I-2 General Industrial District.

Hosni Kadi, representative of Prologis was present and sworn in by Chairman Rowe. Mr. Kadi stated engineering and surveying has been completed for the proposed project. Mr. Kadi stated Prologis buildings are converting to this technology. Mr. Kadi stated ten Prologis buildings in Bensenville are transitioning and this variance is needed at this location because of the placement of the equipment.

Zach Williams of SunVest Solar was present and sworn in by Chairman Rowe. Mr. Williams provided an overview of the equipment that will be installed on site.

There were no questions from the Commission.

Public Comment

Chairman Rowe asked if there were any members of the Public that would like to make comment. There were none.

Mr. Quinn reviewed the approval standards for proposed Variation consisting of:

1. Public Welfare: The proposed variation will not endanger the health, safety, comfort, convenience, and general welfare of the public.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed variation will not endanger the health, safety, comfort, convenience, and general welfare of the public.

2. Compatible with Surrounding Character: The proposed variation is compatible with the character of adjacent properties and other property within the immediate vicinity of the proposed variation.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed variation is compatible with the character of adjacent properties and other property within the immediate vicinity of the proposed variation.

3. Undue Hardship: The proposed variation alleviates an undue hardship created by the literal enforcement of this title.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed variation alleviates an undue hardship created by the literal enforcement of this title.

4. Unique Physical Attributes: The proposed variation is necessary due to the unique physical attributes of the subject property, which were not deliberately created by the applicant.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed variation is necessary due to the unique physical attributes of the subject property, which were not deliberately created by the applicant.

5. Minimum Deviation Needed: The proposed variation represents the minimum deviation from the regulations of this title necessary to accomplish the desired improvement of the subject property.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed variation represents the minimum deviation from the regulations of this title necessary to accomplish the desired improvement of the subject property.

6. Consistent with Ordinance and Plan: The proposed variation is consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Plan, this title, and the other land use policies of the Village.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed variation is consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Plan, this title, and other land use policies of the Village.

Mr. Quinn stated:

1. Staff recommends the Approval of the Findings of Fact and therefore the approval of the Variation, with the following conditions:
 - a. All solar energy collection system ground-mounted systems must be properly screened in accordance with Village standards.
 - b. All energy collection system ground-mounted systems must not be located in the public right-of-way.
 - c. Plans must match those submitted by SunVest Solar LLC on 11.07.24.

There were no questions from the commission.

Motion: Commissioner Marcotte made a motion to close CDC Case No. 2024-34. Commissioner Wasowicz seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Ayes: Rowe, Chambers, Ciula, King, Marcotte, Rott, Wasowicz

Nays: None

All were in favor. Motion carried.

Chairman Rowe closed CDC Case No. 2024-34 at 7:02 p.m.

Motion: Commissioner Marcotte made a motion to approve Variation, Solar Energy Collection System Ground-Mounted Systems Location; Municipal Code Section 10-7-4C-23b-1 with Staff's Recommendations. Commissioner Rott seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Ayes: Rowe, Chambers, Ciula, King, Marcotte, Rott, Wasowicz

Nays: None

All were in favor. Motion carried.

Public Hearing: CDC Case Number 2024-35

Petitioner: SunVest Solar

Location: 1010 Foster Avenue

Request: Variation, Solar Energy Collection System Ground-Mounted Systems Location

Municipal Code Section 10 – 7 – 4C – 23b – 1

Motion: Commissioner Wasowicz made a motion to open CDC Case No. 2024-35. Commissioner Marcotte seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL : Upon roll call the following Commissioners were present:
Rowe, Chambers, Ciula, King, Marcotte, Rott, Wasowicz
Absent: None
A quorum was present.

Chairman Rowe opened CDC Case No. 2024-35 at 7:03 p.m.

Village Planner, Kevin Quinn, was present and sworn in by Chairman Rowe. Mr. Quinn stated a Legal Notice was published in the Bensenville Independent on November 14, 2024. Mr. Quinn stated a certified copy of the Legal Notice is maintained in the CDC file and is available for viewing and inspection at the Community & Economic Development Department during regular business hours. Mr. Quinn stated Village personnel posted one Notice of Public Hearing sign on the property, visible from the public way on November 13, 2024. Mr. Quinn stated on November 13, 2024 Village personnel mailed from the Bensenville Post Office via First Class Mail a Notice of Public Hearing to taxpayers of record within 300' of the property in question. Mr. Quinn stated an affidavit of mailing executed by C & ED personnel and the list of recipients are maintained in the CDC file and are available for viewing and inspection at the Community & Economic Development department during regular business hours.

Mr. Quinn stated the Petitioner, SunVest Solar, is seeking a variance to locate the mechanical portion of a solar energy collection system in the front yard. Mr. Quinn stated the property, 1010 Foster, is a corner side lot with two front yards, and based on an aerial inspection, may be legal non-conforming with the south side of the building in regard to the minimum interior side setback.

Mr. Quinn stated the west side of the property is used for parking. Mr. Quinn stated the property falls within an I-2 General Industrial District, bordering to the west an R-3 Single-Unit Dwelling District. Mr. Quinn stated the petitioner has indicated that they would screen the proposed equipment on three sides in accordance with Village Code.

Hosni Kadi, representative of Prologis was present and sworn in by Chairman Rowe. Mr. Kadi stated engineering and surveying has been completed for the proposed project. Mr. Kadi stated Prologis buildings are converting to this technology. Mr. Kadi stated ten Prologis buildings in Bensenville are transitioning and this variance is needed at this location because of the placement of the equipment.

Zach Williams of SunVest Solar was present and sworn in by Chairman Rowe. Mr. Williams provided an overview of the equipment that will be installed on site.

There were no questions from the Commission.

Public Comment

Margaret Fabian – 563 Marshall Road, Bensenville, IL 60106

Mrs. Fabian was present and sworn in by Chairman Rowe. Mrs. Fabian stated she is not opposed to this project but questioned if solar panels would provide a glare to the residential properties adjacent to the building. Mrs. Fabian also asked if any additional noise would be generated from the equipment.

Mr. Kadri stated the solar panels are set at a ten degree angle and will provide no glare to the residential properties.

Mr. Williams stated they have to follow guidelines from the FAA regarding glare because of airplanes.

Mr. Kadri stated minimal sound would be heard, much like a residential air conditioning unit.

Mr. Quinn reviewed the approval standards for proposed Variation consisting of:

1. Public Welfare: The proposed variation will not endanger the health, safety, comfort, convenience, and general welfare of the public.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed variation will not endanger the health, safety, comfort, convenience, and general welfare of the public.

2. Compatible with Surrounding Character: The proposed variation is compatible with the character of adjacent properties and other property within the immediate vicinity of the proposed variation.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed variation is compatible with the character of adjacent properties and other property within the immediate vicinity of the proposed variation.

3. Undue Hardship: The proposed variation alleviates an undue hardship created by the literal enforcement of this title.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed variation alleviates an undue hardship created by the literal enforcement of this title.

4. Unique Physical Attributes: The proposed variation is necessary due to the unique physical attributes of the subject property, which were not deliberately created by the applicant.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed variation is necessary due to the unique physical attributes of the subject property, which were not deliberately created by the applicant.

5. Minimum Deviation Needed: The proposed variation represents the minimum deviation from the regulations of this title necessary to accomplish the desired improvement of the subject property.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed variation represents the minimum deviation from the regulations of this title necessary to accomplish the desired improvement of the subject property.

6. Consistent with Ordinance and Plan: The proposed variation is consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Plan, this title, and the other land use policies of the Village.

Applicant's Response: Yes, the proposed variation is consistent with the intent of the Comprehensive Plan, this title, and other land use policies of the Village.

Mr. Quinn stated:

1. Staff recommends the Denial of the Findings of Fact and therefore the denial of the Variation, with the following conditions:
 - a. Should the CDC or Village Board recommend approval, staff recommends the following conditions:
 - i. All solar energy collection system ground-mounted systems must be properly screened in accordance with Village standards.
 1. All energy collection system ground-mounted systems must not be located
 2. There were no questions from the commission.

Mr. Pozsgay stated that the Village is supportive of the initiative from Prologis but explained the Village has never approved such a request for location and that Staff feels there is room on site for the required equipment.

Mr. Kadri stated they do not have room on site and do not want to loose parking spaces.

Motion: Commissioner Marcotte made a motion to close CDC Case No. 2024-35. Commissioner Wasowicz seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Ayes: Rowe, Chambers, Ciula, King, Marcotte, Rott, Wasowicz

Nays: None

All were in favor. Motion carried.

Chairman Rowe closed CDC Case No. 2024-35 at 7:15 p.m.

Motion: Commissioner Rott made a motion to approve Variation, Solar Energy Collection System Ground-Mounted Systems Location; Municipal Code Section 10-7-4C-23b-1 with Staff's Recommendations. Commissioner Marcotte seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL: Ayes: Rott

Nays: Rowe, Chambers, Ciula, King, Marcotte, Wasowicz

Motion Failed.

**Report from
Community
Development:**

Mr. Quinn reviewed both recent CDC cases along with upcoming cases.

ADJOURNMENT: There being no further business before the Community Development Commission, Commissioner Chambers made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Commissioner Rott seconded the motion.

All were in favor. Motion carried.

The meeting was adjourned at 7:17 p.m.



Ronald Rowe, Chairman
Community Development Commission